Phonemic Analysis: Contrastive and Complementary Distribution—Examining environments and grouping phones

1. Italian

Focus on the phones [k] and [t]—do they contrast? What about their nasal counterparts [n] and [n]? ([i] means that the following syllable is stressed.)

['ne:ro]	black	[ˈstaŋko]	tired	[ˈtapːo]	tap
[ˈaŋke]	also	['fi:ne]	end	[ˈteŋgo]	I hold
['njente]	nothing	[fran'tʃjeze]	French		
[ˈluŋgo]	long	[ˈuŋgja]	claw		
[ˈkaːpo]	head	[ˈliŋgwa]	language		
['onda]	wave	[in'verno]	winter		

2. Distributions:

1.	[k] and [t]:	environment	generalisations
	[k] /	ne no #a	"in these data, [k] occurs either after a velar nasal or word-initially (before a)"
	[t] /	ne sa #e #a	"in these data, [t] occurs either word-initially (before e or a), or between an alveolar consonant and a vowel"

Since both [k] and [t] can occur in the same environment (#__a), they have **contrastive distribution**. Note also the sub-minimal pair [ka:po] and [tap:o].

Notice also the very similar environments of n ___e and n___e.

Further searching would reveal MINIMAL PAIRS like 'konto (account) versus 'tonto (dull). Because [t] and [k] contrast, they must be members of two different phonemes in Italian. (How about English—can you demonstrate the contrastive status of [t] and [k]?)

Do [ŋ] and [n] occur in the same environment, and hence have contrastive function? No, because according to the data, [n] never occurs before a velar stop [k or g] and [ŋ] never occurs anywhere else BUT before a velar stop. They are therefore in **COMPLEMENTARY DISTRIBUTION**. And since both sounds are phonetically similar, i.e. nasals, they can be grouped together as members of one phoneme. Is the situation the same for these sounds in English?

Rule:

